

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/848,814             | 05/18/2004              | Stuart Bunting       | P0891C8             | 4795             |
| 9157                   | 7590 04/27/2005         | ·                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
| GENENTECH, INC.        |                         |                      | SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G  |                  |
| 1 DNA WAY<br>SOUTH SAN | FRANCISCO, CA 940       | 980                  | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                        | ,                       |                      | 1614                |                  |
|                        | DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005 |                      |                     | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.                         | Applicant(s)             |        |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/848,814                              | BUNTING ET AL.           |        |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner                                | Art Unit                 |        |  |  |  |
|   |   | Phyllis G. Spivack                      | 1614                     | ر      |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 1)□   | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                       |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | awn from consideration.                 |                          |        |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
|   | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
|   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 8)[]  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirement.                |                          |        |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E   | xaminer. Note the attached Office       | Action or form PTC       | )-152. |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 200 the amounted office design for a not of the defined depics not received.  |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| Attachment(c)   |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |   |   |                          |        |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da                     | ite                      | 2.     |  |  |  |
|   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date  | ) 5) Notice of Informal P.<br>6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-1 | 192)   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/848,814

Art Unit: 1614

The undersigned Examiner supports the goal of the Office to advance prosecution as expediently as is reasonably possible. Cooperation is requested with respect to the timely submission of any references deemed pertinent to the present application along with Form PTO-1449.

Claims 1-6 are pending.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,935,924. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the open language of the present claims allows for the inclusion of any number of other active agents. An angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor is a conventional medicament in the treatment of congestive heart failure.

No claim is allowed.

This is a continuation of Applicants' earlier Applications No. 10/288254 and 10/623129.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier applications and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office Action if they had been

entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a First Action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this Final Action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this Final Action and the Advisory Action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the Advisory Action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this Final Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack whose telephone number is 571-272-0585. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 10:30 AM to 7PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful after one business day, the Examiner's supervisor, Chris Low, can be reached at 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/848,814 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phyllis G! Spilvack Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

PHÝLLÍS SPIVACK PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 23, 2005